## PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: John Dunleavy
DOCKET NO.: 04-23533.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-34-403-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Dunleavy, the appellant, by attorney Rusty A. Payton of the Law Offices of Rusty A. Payton, P.C., Chicago, Illinois; and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property is a 50-year old, one and one-half story frame dwelling containing 1,404 square feet of living area with a full, unfinished basement, central air conditioning, and a two-car garage.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis detailing four suggested comparable properties. On the appellant's map, one comparable is located in the same general area as the subject, and the other three comparables are located approximately three miles from the subject. The comparables are one-story frame dwellings that are 55 to 101 years old. One comparable has a partial basement; one has an unfinished basement; and two do not have basements. comparable has central air conditioning. Photographs supplied by the appellant indicate that at least two comparables have a The dwellings have living areas that contain 1,224 to garage. 1,407 square feet, and their improvement assessments range from \$13.66 to \$16.61 per square foot. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$22.95 per square foot. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's assessment was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review offered

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the <u>Cook</u> County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 6,392 IMPR.: \$ 32,220 TOTAL: \$ 38,612

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/BRW

property characteristic sheets and a spreadsheet detailing three suggested comparable properties that are located in close proximity of the subject. The comparables are one-story or one and one-half story frame dwellings that are 52 to 55 years old. One comparable has a full, unfinished basement; one has a partial, finished basement; and one has a partial, unfinished basement. Each comparable has a garage, either one-car or twocar. One comparable has central air conditioning, and each has a fireplace. The dwellings have living areas that contain 1,001 to 1,198 square feet, and improvement assessments that range from \$23.94 to \$25.37 per square foot. Based on this evidence, the review requested confirmation of the board of subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of seven equity comparables. The appellant's comparables differed significantly in location and design from the subject; comparables one and four also differed in foundation; and comparables two and three also differed in age. The board of review's comparables differed significantly in size from the subject, and comparables one and two also differed in design. As a result, none of the comparables was truly similar to the subject property in age, location, and physical characteristics to provide clear and convincing evidence that the property was inequitably assessed. However, the Board notes that all comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$13.66 to \$25.37 per square foot. The subject's \$22.95 per square foot improvement assessment is within range and appears to be supported after considering differences in physical and location attributes.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has not adequately demonstrated that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence, and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board are subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

## DISSENTING:

## $\texttt{C} \; \texttt{E} \; \texttt{R} \; \texttt{T} \; \texttt{I} \; \texttt{F} \; \texttt{I} \; \texttt{C} \; \texttt{A} \; \texttt{T} \; \texttt{I} \; \texttt{O} \; \texttt{N}$

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 28, 2007

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.